

Hasil Review jurnal BMC research Notes

Judul: Effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social Support among mother with Preeclampsia from Southeastern Iran, A clinical trial study

1.Lampiran 1: Keputusan akhir

Decision on a manuscript you reviewed for BMC Research Notes - RESN-D-20-01355R1 - [EMID:a425648d313b4d3f]

Inbox

BMC Research Notes Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

Dec 26, 2020, 3:37 PM

to me

RESN-D-20-01355R1

Effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social Support among mother with Preeclampsia from Southeastern Iran, A clinical trial study

BMC Research Notes

Dear Dr Akrom,

We have made a decision on RESN-D-20-01355R1, which you recently reviewed for us.

The decision is: Reject after review.

You can also view the reviewers' comments at the journal's website.

If you have forgotten your password, please use the 'Send Login Details' link on the login page at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/resn/>. For security reasons, your password will be reset.

Thank you again for your contribution to BMC Research Notes.

Best wishes,

Ishag Adam

BMC Research Notes

<https://bmcresearchnotes.biomedcentral.com/>

2.Lampiran 2: artikel

BMC Research Notes

Effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social Support among mother with Preeclampsia from Southeastern Iran, A clinical trial study --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	RESN-D-20-01355R1
Full Title:	Effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social Support among mother with Preeclampsia from Southeastern Iran, A clinical trial study
Article Type:	Research note
Abstract:	<p>Objective</p> <p>Preeclampsia in pregnancy causes severe perinatal complications. Self-care may play a role in improving health, quality of life and reducing health costs. The aim of this study was Effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social Support among mother with Preeclampsia.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>Mean score of self-care, social support and its dimensions in the intervention group was significantly higher than the control group [$p < 0.05$]. In the control group, the correlation between the score of self-care with social support and its dimensions was negative and significant [$P < 0.05$]. In the intervention group, the correlation between self-care, perceived social support and its dimensions was no significant. The score of self-care and perceived social support increased significantly after intervention. However, the correlation between self-care score and social support in intervention group was not significant.</p>
Response to Reviewers:	trial registration code is added.

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4 **Effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social**
5
6
7 **Support among mother with Preeclampsia from Southeastern Iran, A clinical**
8
9 **trial study**
10

11
12 Fatemeh Ahmadinezhad ¹, Azar Nematolahi ², Marzieh Akbarzadeh ^{3*}
13

14
15 1. Department of Midwifery, Medical Science School, Islamic Azad University, Firoozabad
16

17 Brabch, Firoozabad.Iran
18

19
20 2. PHD Candidate, Community Based Psychiatric Care Research Centre, Department of
21

22 Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz,
23

24
25 Iran
26

27 3 Maternal –fetal Medicine Research Center, Department of Midwifery, School of Nursing and
28

29 Midwifery, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
30

31
32 Corresponding author: Marzieh akbarzadeh, Maternal –fetal medicine Research Center,
33

34 Department of Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shiraz University of Medical
35

36
37 Sciences, Shiraz, Iran E-mail: marzieh.akhbar@gmail.com
38

39
40 Tel: +98 711 6474250; Fax:+98 711 6474252;
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 **Abstract**
5

6 **Objective:** Preeclampsia in pregnancy causes severe perinatal complications. Self-care may play
7
8 a role in improving health, quality of life and reducing health costs. The aim of this study was
9
10 Effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social Support among
11
12 mother with Preeclampsia.
13
14

15
16 **Results:** Mean score of self-care, social support and its dimensions in the intervention group was
17
18 significantly higher than the control group [p <0.05]. In the control group, the correlation
19
20 between the score of self-care with social support and its dimensions was negative and
21
22 significant [P <0.05]. In the intervention group, the correlation between self-care, perceived
23
24 social support and its dimensions was no significant. The score of self-care and perceived social
25
26 support increased significantly after intervention. However, the correlation between self-care
27
28 score and social support in intervention group was not significant.
29
30
31

32
33 **Keywords:** Self-care education, pregnancy, Preeclampsia, Perceived social support
34
35

36 Trial registration number: **IRCT20180127038526N1**

37 Registration date: **2018-05-01**
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

Preeclampsia is defined as hypertension after the 20th week of pregnancy associated with proteinuria which is resolved after delivery [1]. Today, 88% of pregnancies are normal and 12% are high-risk cases [2]. This disease is the main cause of mortality and preterm delivery [3, 4]. About 1- 8% of Iranian women suffer from preeclampsia [5].

Preeclampsia is the second leading cause of maternal death in Iran and the third cause of maternal death in the world [6, 7] and it is reported that its prevalence in various societies ranges from 2 to 10 percent [8]. Multiple maternal and fetal complications caused by preeclampsia have been reported in the researches [9].

Social support is a mental feeling about being accepted, being interested and receive help in specific circumstances [10]. Some studies have been conducted on the protective effect of social protection on health dimensions [11]. One study has shown the relationship between stress and hypertension through social support [12-14]. Social support is a factor in social psychology and its absence is an important risk factor for health during pregnancy [15, 16].

Self-care is care programs that provides conditions leading to desirable clinical outcomes [17]. For effective prenatal self-care, pregnant women need information such as regular blood pressure control, healthy diet, not smoking and social supports [18, 19].

Self-care is an integral part of all levels of health care [20]. In studies on patients with hypertension, 30.1% of patients had weight control and 22% of subjects had low salt diet, and 2.5% of primiparous women had a good knowledge of self-care in gestational hypertension [21, 22]. The education of mother's self-care is an effective and new program that can cause the mother's empowerment [23].

1
2
3
4 Some studies show that many women have a high level of knowledge about pregnancy-induced
5 hypertension but they have little knowledge about self-care [24, 25]. In Iran, there have been no
6
7 studies on planned self-care education to show whether it can contribute the social support of
8
9 patients with hypertension in pregnancy or not. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate
10
11 the effect of Educational Program of Self-Care Behaviors on Perceived Social Support among
12
13 mother with Preeclampsia, A clinical trial study.
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Main Text**

20 **Methods**

21
22 This clinical trial investigated the effect of self-care education in pregnancy with perceived
23
24 social support on 96 preeclampsia patients referring to Shooshtari, Hafez and Hazrat Zeinab
25
26 hospitals of Shiraz in 2018 (Figure S1). Sample size was obtained based on similar articles [26]
27
28 and opinions of statistics professors. The samples were assigned by randomly permuted blocks
29
30 with a block size of three. The study inclusion criteria were Iranian nationality, women with
31
32 preeclampsia, aged between 18 - 45, gestational age of 20 - 42 weeks, singleton pregnancy, no
33
34 history of blood pressure before pregnancy, no history of neonatal death in previous pregnancies,
35
36 with number of deliveries less than 4, absence of chronic diseases such as: diabetes, severe
37
38 obesity, kidney disease, cardiovascular disease, anemia, mental illness and residence in Shiraz to
39
40 attend educational classes. The study exclusion criteria were exacerbation of the disease and
41
42 changing into eclampsia and death, not attending the two training sessions and not giving
43
44 complete response to the questionnaires.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Intervention method**

54
55 Sampling began after obtaining written permission from the research centers, receiving code
56
57 from the clinical practice center and written consent from the mothers. The method of
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 conducting the research was based on the data of the demographic questionnaire of midwifery
5
6 obtained from women who were eligible for inclusion criteria. 96 subjects were entered into the
7
8 study after obtaining written consent by using available sampling method in randomly permuted
9
10 blocks with a block size of three. 48 members assigned in to the intervention group and 48
11
12 people in o the control group.
13
14

15
16 Patients in the intervention group received self-care education for five sessions. The duration of
17
18 each session was 45 minutes one week apart. Self-care education included a healthy diet, such as
19
20 low-salt and low-fat diets, daily intake of vegetables, milk and calcium, active life, not smoking
21
22 and not using alcohol, using blood pressure medications, regular blood pressure and weight
23
24 control, avoiding mental and psychological pressures, and receiving regular prenatal care (Table
25
26 S1). The intervention group was given an opportunity to use the educational materials for one
27
28 month and then the self-care questionnaire and the perceived social protection questionnaire
29
30 were completed by interview. Control group also completed the questionnaire after receiving
31
32 routine prenatal cares. The research instrument included demographic and fertility questionnaire,
33
34 researcher made self-care questionnaire, and a social support questionnaire.
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 The demographic questionnaire included two parts: first part consisted of questions such as age,
42
43 pre-pregnancy weight, height, body mass index, employment status, level of education, family
44
45 income and second part consisted questions such as midwifery data on the number of
46
47 pregnancies, gestational age, history of abortion, preterm delivery, preeclampsia, hypertension in
48
49 previous pregnancies, etc.
50
51

52
53 The researcher-made self-care questionnaire in pregnancy consisted of 13 questions started with
54
55 a four-point likert scale of never (0 score) and ended with always (3 score). The minimum score
56
57 was zero and the maximum was 39. To determine the validity of the questionnaire after making
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 questions, based on the content of the educational sessions the questionnaire was provided to ten
5
6 experienced faculty members of Fatemeh (P.B.U.H) School of Nursing and Midwifery of Shiraz.
7
8 Opinions and recommendations were proposed by the professors and content validity was
9
10 determined. Subsequently, by preliminary examination, 30 knowledge assessment questionnaires
11
12 were completed by pregnant women in a two week intervals and Cronbach's alpha coefficient
13
14 was determined using SPSS software. Cronbach's alpha coefficient obtained in this study was α
15
16 = 81% which considered as a good reliability coefficient for the present study.
17
18

19
20
21 The perceived social support questionnaire was designed by Sarason et al. and its internal
22
23 consistency with the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated as 0.97, it was translated to
24
25 Farsi by Naseh et al. and its reliability and internal reliability were measured. Its internal
26
27 consistency was calculated with Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.95. The questionnaire
28
29 consisted 12 questions in the Likert scale started with "Completely Disagree"(zero score) and
30
31 ended with "Completely Agree" (6 score). Its minimum score was zero and its maximum score
32
33 was 72 [27, 28].
34
35

36 37 38 **Statistical Analysis** 39

40
41 SPSS software, version 23, was used to enter the data. Descriptive test was used to report
42
43 frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation. Analytical tests or its nonparametric
44
45 equivalents (Mann-Whitney test) were used to compare the mean of a quantitative factor
46
47 between the two groups. Pearson correlation test was used to investigate the correlation between
48
49 the two factors slightly
50
51 was used for analyzing the analyzes for comparing the mean of a quantitative factor between the
52
53 two groups of independent t test or its nonparametric equivalents (Mann-Whitney test) was used
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 to examine the correlation between the two quantitative factors. Pearson Correlation Test was
5
6 used to examine the relationship between two qualitative factors.
7

8
9 Also Chi-square test was used to investigate the relationship between classification factors
10 [qualitative] with each other. In examination of the normality of each qualitative factor,
11
12 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used in each group separately. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.
13
14

15 16 **Results**

17
18 96 individuals participated in this study. 48 individuals were in the intervention group and 48
19 were in the control group. The age of the samples was 19 - 43 years old with a mean of $29.31 \pm$
20
21 7.3 (mean \pm SD). The demographic and clinical data of individuals in the intervention and
22
23 control group were presented (Table 1).
24
25

26
27 The results showed that the two intervention and control groups were homogeneous in terms of
28
29 demographic characteristics and fertility [Table 2]. Also the mean of the scores of self-care,
30
31 specific social support, social support of friends, family social support and overall score of
32
33 perceived social support in the intervention group was significantly higher than that of the
34
35 control group ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2).
36
37

38
39 Based on Pearson correlation test, the correlation between score of self-care score, specific social
40
41 support, social support of friends, family social support and total social support was not
42
43 significant in the intervention group. But in the control group, the correlation between score of
44
45 self-care, perceived social support and its dimensions was negative and significant ($P > 0.05$).
46
47

48
49 This meant that with the increase of self-care score, the score of social support of a special
50
51 individual, social support of friends, social support of family, and total social support was
52
53 significantly reduced (Table 3).
54
55

56 57 **Discussion**

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Results of the present study showed that the mean of self-care score, score of social support and
5 score of perceived social support and its dimensions in the intervention group were significantly
6 higher than that of control group ($p < 0.001$). In Shabiri et al. study, after education in
7 preeclamptic patients, an increase in self-care score in the intervention group was observed,
8 which was consistent with the present study. Self-care education also caused a significant
9 difference in intervention and control groups in Apgar score of infants after birth [29]. Another
10 study showed that after self-care education in patients with high blood pressure, the mean of self-
11 care score in the intervention group was 66.4 ± 4.6 which was consistent with the present study
12 [30]. Shahbedaghi et al study also showed a significant decrease in diastolic blood pressure of
13 patient by implementing the self-care program. The most effective and cost-effective way of
14 developing human health is the participation of individuals in health care programs, increasing
15 the awareness of patients about their illness and methods of controlling it [31, 32].

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 Among other results of the present study was that correlation between factors of self-care, social
34 support and its dimensions in intervention group was not significant. The study of Seifzadeh
35 revealed that there was no correlation between perceived social support and physical health level
36 [33]. Considering the fact that in this study self-care education performed to reduce the
37 complications of preeclampsia, this study was consistent with the study of Seifzadeh. On the
38 other hand, the study by Naghizadeh et al. showed a correlation between self-care educations in
39 perceived social support [34], which was not consistent with the results of this study. The reason
40 for this difference can be the lack of consideration of the impact of chronic diseases such as
41 preeclampsia in their study.

42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

n another study, there was a correlation between perceived social support with self-care and nutritional habits [33], which was not consistent with this study. Also, various studies indicated

1
2
3
4 positive effects of social support on self-care aspects [35, 36]. Although the correlation between
5 self-care and perceived social support in the intervention group was not significant in the present
6 study; differences in the findings of the study can be due to the effect of factors such as
7 depression and anxiety in pregnancy on the amount of social support that did not considered in
8 this study [37]. The constant reinforcement of awareness of self-care skills in pregnancy-related
9 hypertension was a requirement for prenatal education.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 There was no significant relationship between the level of education of mothers participating in
20 the present study with self-care and perceived social support, which was consistent with Shabiri
21 study [29]. However, in some studies, increasing maternal education level increases the self-care
22 behaviors or causes the receiving of higher social support [38]. Preventive interventions for
23 preeclampsia can reduce its severity and affect the level of mortality and pre-natal complications.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31 Among the strengths of this study was face to face education as the most effective educational
32 methods.

33 34 35 36 **Conclusion**

37
38 The mean score of self-care, perceived social support and its dimensions in the intervention
39 group had a significant increase. The correlation between factors of self-care, perceived social
40 support and its dimensions in the intervention group was not significantly correlated. It is
41 recommended that a well-written educational program to be conducted in high risk mothers for
42 prenatal cares.

43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 **Limitations**

51
52
53 One of the limitations of this study was the lack of standard self-care questionnaire in pregnancy.
54
55
56 Regarding the fact that after education, the self-care programs were performed by people at
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 home and they were not directly observed by the researcher, so this could affect the outcomes of
5
6 the study, which was beyond control.
7
8

9 **Abbreviations**

10
11 Not available.
12
13

14 **Declarations**

15 **Acknowledgements**

16
17
18
19 The authors would like to thank the mothers and all the individuals who helped in performance
20
21 of the research. The authors would like to thank Center for Development of Clinical Research of
22
23 Nemazee Hospital for data analysis and Dr. Nasrin Shokrpour for editorial assistance.
24
25

26 **Availability of data and materials**

27
28 Data are available upon request form corresponding author.
29
30

31 **Authors' contribution**

32
33
34 **MA and FA** are prepared the first draft of the manuscript and **MA** made critical revisions to the
35
36 paper and respond to reviewers. **AA** Helped the Surge Articles, and Center for Development of
37
38 Clinical Research of Nemazee Hospital were supervision in The data analysis. All authors
39
40 approved the study.
41

42 **Funding**

43
44
45 Financial support done by Vice-chancellor of shiraz University of Medical
46
47 Sciences.
48
49

50 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

51
52
53 The study is approved by ethics committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. All
54
55 participants signed a written consent (IR.SUMS.REC.1396.144)
56
57

58 **Consent for publication**

59
60 Not applicable.
61
62
63
64
65

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest among the authors of the study.

References

1. Spong CY, Cunningham F, Leveno K, Bloom S, Hauth J, Rouse D. Williams obstetrics. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2009.
2. Coco L, Giannone TT, Zarbo G. Management of high-risk pregnancy. *Minerva Ginecol.* 2014;66(4):383-9.
3. Whitehead SJ, Berg CJ, Chang J. Pregnancy-related mortality due to cardiomyopathy: United States, 1991–1997. *Obstetrics & Gynecology.* 2003;102(6):1326-31.
4. Duley L. Pre-eclampsia and the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *Br Med Bull.* 2003;67:161-76.
5. Lopez-Jaramillo P, Casas JP, Serrano N. Preeclampsia: from epidemiological observations to molecular mechanisms. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 2001;34(10):1227-35.
6. Kordi M, Abbaszadeh A, Mokhber N, Lotfalizadeh M, Ebrahimzadeh S. Domestic violence by her husband and preeclampsia. *Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Infertility.* 2011;16(75):12-21.
7. Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tunçalp Ö, Moller A-B, Daniels J, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. *The Lancet Global Health.* 2014;2(6):e323-e33.
8. Hutcheon JA, Lisonkova S, Joseph K. Epidemiology of pre-eclampsia and the other hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology.* 2011;25(4):391-403.
9. Yu Y, Zhang S, Wang G, Hong X, Mallow EB, Walker SO, et al. The combined association of psychosocial stress and chronic hypertension with preeclampsia. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* 2013;209(5):438. e1-. e12.
10. Alizadeh Z, Ashktorab T, Nikravan Mofrad M, Zayeri F. Correlation between perceived social support and self-care behaviors among patients with heart failure. *Journal of Health Promotion Management.* 2014;3(1):27-34.
11. Croezen S, Picavet HS, Haveman-Nies A, Verschuren WM, de Groot LC, van't Veer P. Do positive or negative experiences of social support relate to current and future health? Results from the Doetinchem Cohort Study. *BMC Public Health.* 2012;12:65.
12. Heard E, Whitfield KE, Edwards CL, Bruce MA, Beech BM. Mediating effects of social support on the relationship among perceived stress, depression, and hypertension in African Americans. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2011;103(2):116-22.
13. Bell CN, Thorpe RJ, Jr., Laveist TA. Race/Ethnicity and hypertension: the role of social support. *Am J Hypertens.* 2010;23(5):534-40.
14. Shamsi U, Hatcher J, Shamsi A, Zuberi N, Qadri Z, Saleem S. A multicentre matched case control study of risk factors for preeclampsia in healthy women in Pakistan. *BMC Womens Health.* 2010;10:14.
15. Momeni Javid F, Simbar M, Dolatian M, Alavi Majd H. Comparison of lifestyles of women with gestational diabetes and healthy pregnant women. *Glob J Health Sci.* 2014;7(2):162-9.
16. Elsenbruch S, Benson S, Rucke M, Rose M, Dudenhausen J, Pincus-Knackstedt MK, et al. Social support during pregnancy: effects on maternal depressive symptoms, smoking and pregnancy outcome. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22(3):869-77.
17. Olds SB. Maternal-newborn nursing & women's health care: Prentice Hall; 2004.
18. McIntyre P, Organization. WH. Pregnant adolescents:delivering on global promises of hope Geneva2006 [Available from: <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43368>].

19. Izadirad H, Masoudi G, Zareban I. Evaluation of efficacy of education program based on BASNEF model on Self-care behaviors of women with hypertension. *Journal of Zabol University*. 2014;6(1):42-51.
20. Rezaeean M, Abedian Z, Latifnezhad R, Mazloum R, S. D. Orem's theory of self-care behaviors in women Pregnant women at risk of preterm delivery: A clinical trial. . *Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Infertility*. 2017;20(2):68-76.
21. Warren-Findlow J, Seymour RB. Prevalence rates of hypertension self-care activities among African Americans. *J Natl Med Assoc*. 2011;103(6):503-12.
22. Prathima P. Assistant Professor & HOD , Department of OBG, Universal College of Nursing Bennarghatta Main Road, Bangalore - 76, Karnataka, India. *Nitte University Journal of Health Science*. 2014;4(3):61.
23. Mohebibi SZ, Sheikhzadeh S, Ezzati-Givi N, Shekarchizadeh H. Self-perceived oral health and its determinants among adult dental patients in a University Dental Clinic in Tehran, Iran. *Journal of Oral Health and Oral Epidemiology*. 2015;4(1):30-7.
24. Atterbury JL, Groome LJ, Hoff C. Blood pressure changes in normotensive women readmitted in the postpartum period with severe preeclampsia/eclampsia. *J Matern Fetal Med*. 1996;5(4):201-5.
25. Singh V, Srivastava M. Associated risk factors with pregnancy-induced hypertension: A hospital-based KAP study. *International Journal of Medicine and Public Health*. 2015;5(1).
26. Rostami F, Ramezani Badr F, Amini K, Pezeshki A. Effect of a self-care educational program based on Orem's model on stress in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *Preventive Care in Nursing & Midwifery Journal*. 2015;5(1):13-22.
27. Sarason IG, Levine HM, Basham RB, Sarason BR. Assessing social support: the social support questionnaire. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 1983;44(1):127.
28. Nasseh M, Ghazinour M, Joghataei M, Nojomi M, Richter J. A persian version of the social support questionnaire (SSQ). *Social Welfare Quarterly*. 2011;11(41):251-66.
29. Shobeiri F, Doosti F, Oshvandi K, Soltanian A, Rabiei S. The Effect of Educative Intervention on Preeclamptic Women on their Newborn's Health: A Randomized Clinical Trial. 2015.
30. Eghbali T, Salehi S. The Effect of Nursing Intervention Education on Self-care Behaviors in Patients with High Blood Pressure Referred to Healthcare Centers in Kermanshah Province. *Iranian Journal of Rehabilitation Research*. 2017;4(1):32-7.
31. Taylor SJC, Pinnock H, Epiphaniou E, Pearce G, Parke HL, Schwappach A, et al. A rapid synthesis of the evidence on interventions supporting self-management for people with long-term conditions: PRISMS - Practical systematic Review of Self-Management Support for long-term conditions. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. Southampton (UK)2014.
32. Speer PW, Jackson CB, Peterson NA. The relationship between social cohesion and empowerment: support and new implications for theory. *Health Educ Behav*. 2001;28(6):716-32.
33. Seyfzadeh A. The relationship between perceived social support and health in the elderly adults Case study: Azarshahr. *Journal of Gerontology*. 2016;1(1):40-7.
34. Naghizadeh S, Fathnezhad-Kazemi A, Gavidel T. Relationship between Self-Care in Pregnancy with Perceived Social Support and Stress among Pregnant Women in 29 Bahman Hospital in Tabriz. *SALAMAT IJTIMAI (Community Health)*. 2019;6(1):99-107.
35. Rad GS, Bakht LA, Feizi A, Mohebi S. Importance of social support in diabetes care. *Journal of education and health promotion*. 2013;2.
36. Panthumas S, Kittipichai W, Pitikultang S, Chamroonsawasdi K. Self-care behaviors among Thai primigravida teenagers. *Global journal of health science*. 2012;4(3):139.
37. Rahmatollahi N, Khodabakhshi-koolaei A, Mansoor L, Pour-Ebrahim T. The relationship between experiencing physical and emotional-verbal violence and perceived social support with postpartum depression among women. *SALAMAT IJTIMAI (Community Health)*. 2015;2(2):139-48.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

38. Taher M, Abredari H, Karimy M, Abedi A, Shamsizadeh M. The relation between social support and adherence to the treatment of hypertension. *J Educ Community Health*. 2014;1(3):63-9.

Table 1: Demographic and clinical data of subjects in two groups of control and intervention

Factors	Category	Group		Total M±SD	Statistical index	p- [value[sig
		Control N=48 M±SD	Interventio n N=48 M±SD			
Age		79.29±7 .7	83.28±9 .6	29.3±7.3	[0.64[T	52.0
Gravid		65.2±25 .0	15.2±19.0	2.39±0.16	[T] 1.58	12.0
		[N[%	[N[%			
Occupation	Housewife	31.3]30]	31.3]30]	5.62]60]	[0[X ²	1
	Employed	18.8]18]	18.8]18]	5.37]36]		
Education	Uneducate d	4.2]4]	2.1]2]	3.6]6]	[X ²] 0.14	54.0
	Under the diploma	15]15.6]	7.14]14]	2.30]29]		
	Diploma	13]13.5]	19]19.8]	3.33]32]		
	Academic	16]16.7]	13]13.5]	2.30]29]		
Income	below 1 million	32]31.3]	33]32.3]	6.65]63]	[0.05[X ²	83.0
	Above 1 million	[17]17.7	[16]16.7	[33]34.4		
Satisfaction	Yes	45.8]44]	46]45.9]	92.7]89]	[0.15[X ²	69.0

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

with the relationship with the husband	No	4.2]4]	3.1]3]	7.3]7]		
husband Education	Uneducated	3.1]3]	1]1]	4.2]4]	[2.88[X ²	0.41
	Under the diploma	19.8]19]	24]23]	43.8]42]		
	Diploma	13]13.5]	16]16.7]	30]29.2]		
	Academic	13]13.5]	8]8.3]	21]21.9]		
Abortion history	Yes	10.4]10]	7]7.3]	17]17.7]	[0.64[X ²	0.42
	No	39.6]38]	42.7]41]	82.3]79]		

T= Independent t-test

X² = chi-square test

Table 2: Comparison of mean scores of self-care factors and perceived social support between two groups of control and intervention

Factors	Group	Mean	Std.Deviation	Statistical index	P-value
Self care	Intervention	45.89	3.16	20.94*	P<0.001
	Control	30.12	4.15		
Specific person's Social support	Intervention	22.04	4.51	515**	P<0.001
	control	16.13	6.006		
Friends Social support	Intervention	19.45	5.79	805.5**	0.011
	control	15.95	6.38		
Family social support	Intervention	25.23	8.3	761**	0.004
	control	20.58	6.89		
Total social support	Intervention	61.83	13.67	634.5**	P<0.001
	control	48.79	16.57		

*= Independent t-test **= Mann-whitney

Table 3: Correlation between the scores of self-care factors and perceived social support in two groups of intervention and control

Group	Factors	Self care	Specific person's Social support	Friends Social support	Family social support	Total social support
Intervention	Self care	-				
	Specific person's Social support	r= -0.025 p=0.87	-			
	Friends Social support	r=0.036 p=0.81	r= -0.027 p=0.86	-		
	Family social support	r=0.02 p=0.89	r=0.092 p<0.001	r=0.13 p=0.39	-	
	Total social support	r=0.011 p=0.94	r=0.89 p<0.001	r=0.38 p=0.007	r=0.96 p<0.001	-
Control	Self-care	-				
	Specific person's Social support	r=-0.36 p=0.01	-			
	Friends Social support	r= -0.38 p=0.008	r=0.87 p<0.001	-		
	Family social support	r=-0.39 p=0.007	r=0.8 p<0.001	r=0.78 p<0.001	-	
	Total	r= -0.39	r=0.95	r=0.93	r=0.93	-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

	social support	p=0.005	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	
--	-----------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	--

r=pearson correlation , p= p-value